

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,809		08/20/2002	Heinz Keller	RID 02027	7769
23413	7590	07/29/2004		EXAM	INER
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			CORBIN, ARTHUR L		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	
Office Action Summany	Seq KELLER
Office Action Summary Examiner	Group Art Unit
ARTHUR	[COPDIN (1761
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh	eet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statut If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MON Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the appli Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this conterm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	tory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. cation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8-20-03 4-10	1-04
☐ This action is FINAL.	·
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O. 	s, prosecution as to the merits is closed in G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) (9	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s) — 〔 ♀ ?	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved disapproved.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ appro □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Exau □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved disapproved.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved disapproved.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved disapproved. miner
□ Claim(s) □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved disapproved. miner
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved disapproved. miner
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is □ appre □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved □ disapproved. miner
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved disapproved. miner 119 (a)–(d).
Claim(s) Cl	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved □ disapproved. miner 119 (a)–(d).
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved □ disapproved. miner 119 (a)–(d).
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved □ disapproved. miner 119 (a)–(d).
Claim(s) Cl	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved disapproved. miner 119 (a)–(d). ation No. ved le 17.2(a))
□ Claim(s) □ □ □ Claim(s) □ □ Claim(s) □ □ Claim(s) □ □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement oved disapproved. miner 119 (a)–(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/064,809

Art Unit: 1761

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Egbert et al (WO 02/056,705, pages 2, 5, 7, 9-11, 13 and Example 6).

Egbert et al discloses treating meat products with a brine solution including carrageenan and each of applicants other claimed components. Finding the optimum amount of each component would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

3. Claims 2, 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Egbert et al as applied to claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11 and 13-19 above, and further in view of Kamada et al.

It would have been obvious to use kappa carrageenan as the carrageenan in Egbert et al since kappa carrageenan is conventionally used in brine compositions to treat meat, as evidenced by Kamada et al (columns 2, 3, 6 and 7).

4. Claims 1-19 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamada et al.

Kamada et al discloses treating meat products with a brine composition including kappa carrageenan and each of applicant's other claimed components. Finding the

Application/Control Number: 10/064,809

Art Unit: 1761

optimum amount of each component would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gagel et al discloses treating meat with a composition including carrageenan, salt, phosphate and a second hydrocolloid and then coating the meat with isolated soy protein and starch. Henson et al shows controlling PSE condition in meat products by treating them with a phosphate and salt containing composition.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday--Friday from 10:30 to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,809

Art Unit: 1761

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.L. Corbin/dh July 26, 2004 Page 4